

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/764,231	01/23/2004	Gerald T. Gourdin	HAU234 CIP2	8493
25235	7590 07/26/2005		EXAM	INER
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP			OH, TAYLOR V	
	R CENTER, SUITE 1500 TEENTH ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, C	O 80202		1625	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_ 🕶				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/764,231	GOURDIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Taylor Victor Oh	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a construction of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the dod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	September 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allows.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a I		t received			
See the attached detailed Office action for a r	ist of the certified copies no	rreceived.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Intensions	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date			
3) \square Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ $($		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Restriction and Election

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-25, and 30-33, drawn to a composition containing phenolic compounds and a method of preparing a composition enriched in phenolic compounds containing proanthocyanidins, anthocyanins, classified in class 536, subclass 8; class 549, subclass 403.
- II. Claims 26-29, drawn to a method for treating symptoms in a mammal caused by an infecting organism by administering a composition containing proanthocyanidins ,anthocyanins and a method for suppressing the growth of pathogens in meat products by adding the composition containing proanthocyanidins ,anthocyanins to meat products , classified in class 514, subclass 27; class 424, subclasses 732, and 777.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h).

In the instant case, the invention of Group I is directed to the composition containing phenolic compounds and a method of preparing a composition enriched in phenolic compounds containing proanthocyanidins, anthocyanins, whereas the invention of group II is directed to the method for treating symptoms in a mammal caused by the infecting organism by administering the composition containing proanthocyanidins, anthocyanins and the method for suppressing the growth of pathogens in meat products by adding the composition containing proanthocyanidins, anthocyanins to meat products. However, the invention of Group II can be practiced with another materially different product as shown in Pfirrmann (US 6,011,030), in which Taurolidine and Taurultam can be useful for treating a patient with symptoms of microbial infection; also Tatini (US 4,871,563) discloses that the diacetyl compounds can kill bacterial spores. Therefore, in the instant case, they are two different inventions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,231

Art Unit: 1625

A telephone call was made to Sarah Smith on 7/20/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1625

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 571-272-0689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*** mon Ja Ja 105